Application Serial No.: 10/550,991 Amendment dated: December 18, 2008 Reply to Office Action of September 25, 2008

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Fotinos (U.S. 6,416,048). Thank you for bringing our attention to Figures 7a and 7b of this reference. Claims 1-8 have been canceled making this rejection now moot.

Claims 51-62 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. In particular, the Examiner objects to the phrase "removably attaching" in claim 51. The claim has been amended to remove the offending language and replace it with language that is more clearly understood. As used in the original claim 1 the phrase "removably attached" is well known for referring to an attachment of an item that allows the user to remove the item from that to which it is attached during ordinary use. Thus, the claim has been amended to recite that the film is placed "in removably attached contact with the pattern of adhesive." As amended, Applicants submit that the claims satisfy the requirements of §112 and thus are now in condition for allowance.

For all of the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that all claims presently pending in the application are allowable over the art of record and early notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted.

Dated: December 18, 2008 /Robert M. Asher, #30,445/

Robert M. Asher Registration No. 30,445 Attorney for the Applicants Bromberg & Sunstein LLP 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 443-9292 x224

01581/00141 984446.1